



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

AA

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,457	04/01/2004	Martin Erdtmann	13913-194001/2004P00047 U	6402
32864	7590	04/06/2007	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C. PO BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			LE, THU NGUYET T	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2162		
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		04/06/2007		PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/815,457	ERDTMANN ET AL.
	Examiner Thu-Nguyet Le	Art Unit 2162

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thu-Nguyet Le (examiner). (3) Hans R. Trosch (applicants' representative).
 (2) Khanh Pham (primary examiner). (4) Arrienne M. Lezak (applicants' representative).

Date of Interview: 3/22/2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Fritz (US 6134552).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representatives provided more detail limitations of claim 1 and argued Fritz's reference does not teach the derived context being generated by one or more successive applications to the entry context. Examiners referred to Fritz's reference at col.2 lines 53-65, fig.6, which teaches the retrieving of the most appropriate physical object upon the attributes of the user's front end. Applicants will consider further amendment the claim to clearly defined mapping process.

Attorney's Docket No.: 13913-194001
Client's Ref. No.: 2004P00047 US

OFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FACSIMILE:**OFFICIAL FAX NO: (571) 270-2093**

Number of pages including this page 2 pages

Applicant : Martin Erdmann, et al.
Serial No. : 10/815,457
Filed : April 1, 2004

Art Unit : 2169
Examiner : Thu-Nguyet Le

Title : Context Resolution

Examiner Thu-Nguyet Le
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Customer No. 32864
Fish & Richardson P.C.
Telephone: (650) 839-5070
Fax: (650) 839-5071

50405090.doc

NOTE: This facsimile is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged or confidential information. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately call us collect at (650) 839-5070 to arrange for its return. Thank you.

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

SUBSTITUTE PTOL-43A (OS-OS)

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No. : 10/815,457 First Named Applicant Martin Erdmann, et al.

Examiner: Thu-Nguyet Le Art unit: 2169 Status of Application: Published

Tentative Participants:

(1) <u>Thu-Nguyet Le</u>	(2) <u>Hans R. Troesch</u>
(3) <u>Arrienne M. Lezak</u>	(4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: March 22, 2007 Proposed Time: 9:00 EST (AM)**Type of Interview Requested:**(1) Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video ConferenceExhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: YES NO

if yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) Rejection	Claim 1	Fritz	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

 Continuation Sheet Attached**Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:**

1.a. Fritz does not teach generating a derived context by one or more successive applications of maps selected from the plurality of maps applied to a context entry, as recited in claim 1.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

Hans R. Troesch

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

36,950

Registration Number, if applicable